

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,983	03/10/2004	Jerry V. Mayeux	30310-US-548	5003
5179	7590 03/31/2005	EXAMINER		INER
	MYERS AND ADAMS	MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH		
P O BOX 26927 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 871256927			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/797,983	MAYEUX, JERRY V.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. resix (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. respectively period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 September 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ☑ Applicat i 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-83 are subject to restriction and/or element drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Infor	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a topical pain relief composition, classified in class 424, subclass 760, for example.
- II. Claims 7-37, 83 are drawn to yeast culture inoculum and fermentation medium, classified in class 424, subclass 50 and class 435, subclass 253.6, for example.
- III. Claims 38-82, drawn to a method of preparing a topical pain relief composition, classified in class 424, subclass 78.05, for example.

Invention I and invention III are related as product and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, there are numerous other methods of preparing a topical pain relief composition that can be that fermentation products can be prepared in different manners such as by bacterial fermentation.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case invention II is a yeast culture inoculum and fermentation medium and invention III is a method of preparing the a pharmaceutical. These two functions are distinct each from the other.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a) oil, b) nutrients, c) secondary nutrients and d) micronutrients.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,983

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 4, 5, 7, 19, 22, 35, 56, 58, 81 and 82 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising active ingredients as claimed in claims 4, 5, 7, 19, 22, 35, 56, 58, 81 and 82.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, (i.e. elect one from a) an oil i.e. vegetable oil, butter, margarine, lard, petrolatum, mineral oil, paraffin, wax, liquid hydrocarbon, semi-solid hydrocarbon, corn oil, canola oil, peanut oil, soy oil, palm oil, sesame oil or combination thereof) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from b) nutrients (i.e. elect one from nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium or a combination thereof) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species listed for c) secondary nutrients (i.e. elect one species from calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc or a combination thereof) and for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species listed for d) micronutrients (i.e. elect one species from manganese, cobalt, copper, or combination thereof) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, 4, 5, 7, 19, 22, 35, 56, 58, 81 and 82 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, Applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1654

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

SUSAN COE
PRIMARY EXAMINER